

## Defect of characters of the symmetric group

Jean-Baptiste Gramain

(Communicated by G. Malle)

**Abstract.** Following the work of B. Külshammer, J. B. Olsson and G. R. Robinson on generalized blocks of the symmetric groups, we give a definition for the  $\ell$ -defect of characters of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $\ell > 1$  is an arbitrary integer. We prove that the  $\ell$ -defect is given by an analogue of the hook-length formula, and use it to prove, when  $n < \ell^2$ , an  $\ell$ -version of the McKay conjecture in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .

### 1 Introduction

B. Külshammer, J. B. Olsson and G. R. Robinson gave in [6] a definition of *generalized blocks* for a finite group. Let  $G$  be a finite group, and denote by  $\text{Irr}(G)$  the set of complex irreducible characters of  $G$ . Take a union  $\mathcal{C}$  of conjugacy classes of  $G$  containing the identity. Suppose furthermore that  $\mathcal{C}$  is *closed*, that is, if  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , and if  $y \in G$  generates the same subgroup of  $G$  as  $x$ , then  $y \in \mathcal{C}$ . For  $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , we define the  $\mathcal{C}$ -contribution  $\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  by

$$\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{C}} \chi(g) \psi(g^{-1}).$$

The fact that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed implies that, for any  $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,  $\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}$  is a rational number.

We say that  $\chi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  belong to the same  $\mathcal{C}$ -block of  $G$  if there exists a sequence of irreducible characters  $\chi_1 = \chi, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n = \psi$  of  $G$  such that  $\langle \chi_i, \chi_{i+1} \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . The  $\mathcal{C}$ -blocks define a partition of  $\text{Irr}(G)$  (the fact that  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$  ensures that each irreducible character of  $G$  belongs to a  $\mathcal{C}$ -block). If we take  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the set of  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$  (i.e. whose order is not divisible by  $p$ ), for some prime  $p$ , then the  $\mathcal{C}$ -blocks are just the ‘ordinary’  $p$ -blocks (cf. for example [8, Theorem 3.19]).

Let  $\text{CF}(G)$  be the set of complex class functions of  $G$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the ordinary scalar product on  $\text{CF}(G)$ . For any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , we define  $\chi^{\mathcal{C}} \in \text{CF}(G)$  by letting

$$\chi^{\mathcal{C}}(g) = \begin{cases} \chi(g) & \text{if } g \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , we have

$$\chi^{\mathcal{C}} = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \langle \chi^{\mathcal{C}}, \psi \rangle \psi = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} \psi.$$

Since  $\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{Q}$  for all  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d\chi^{\mathcal{C}}$  is a generalized character of  $G$ . We call the smallest such positive integer the  $\mathcal{C}$ -defect of  $\chi$ , and denote it by  $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\chi)$ .

It is easy to check that  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  has  $\mathcal{C}$ -defect 1 if and only if  $\chi$  vanishes outside  $\mathcal{C}$ . This is also equivalent to the fact that  $\{\chi\}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -block of  $G$ .

Writing  $1_G$  for the trivial character of  $G$ , we see that, for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,  $d_{\mathcal{C}}(1_G)\chi^{\mathcal{C}} = \chi \otimes (d_{\mathcal{C}}(1_G)1_G^{\mathcal{C}})$  is a generalized character, so that  $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\chi)$  divides  $d_{\mathcal{C}}(1_G)$ . In particular,  $1_G$  has maximal  $\mathcal{C}$ -defect.

Note that, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$  ( $p$  a prime), then, for all  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , we have (cf. for example [8, Lemma 3.23])

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\chi) = \left( \frac{|G|}{\chi(1)} \right)_p = p^{d(\chi)},$$

where  $d(\chi)$  is the ordinary  $p$ -defect of  $\chi$ .

One key notion defined in [6] is that of a *generalized perfect isometry*. Suppose that  $G$  and  $H$  are finite groups, and  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are closed unions of conjugacy classes of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively. Take a union  $b$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ -blocks of  $G$ , and a union  $b'$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ -blocks of  $G$ . A *generalized perfect isometry* between  $b$  and  $b'$  (with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ ) is a bijection with signs between  $b$  and  $b'$ , which furthermore preserves contributions. That is,  $I: b \mapsto b'$  is a bijection such that, for each  $\chi \in b$ , there is a sign  $\varepsilon(\chi)$  such that

$$\langle I(\chi), I(\psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle \varepsilon(\chi)\chi, \varepsilon(\psi)\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} \quad \text{for all } \chi, \psi \in b.$$

In particular, one sees that a generalized perfect isometry  $I$  preserves the defect, that is, for all  $\chi \in b$ , we have  $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\chi) = d_{\mathcal{D}}(I(\chi))$ .

Note that, if  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are the sets of  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively, then this notion is a bit weaker than that of *perfect isometry* introduced by M. Broué (cf. [1]). If two  $p$ -blocks  $b$  and  $b'$  are perfectly isometric in Broué's sense, then there is a generalized perfect isometry (with respect to  $p$ -regular elements) between  $b$  and  $b'$ . It is however possible to exhibit generalized perfect isometries in some cases where there is no perfect isometry in Broué's sense (cf. [3]).

Külshammer, Olsson and Robinson defined and studied in [6] the  $\ell$ -blocks of the symmetric group, where  $\ell \geq 2$  is any integer. They did this by taking  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the set of  $\ell$ -regular elements, that is, which have no cycle (in their canonical cycle decomposition) of length divisible by  $\ell$  (in particular, if  $\ell$  is a prime  $p$ , then the  $\ell$ -blocks are just the  $p$ -blocks).

In Section 2, we find the  $\ell$ -defect of the characters of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . It turns out (Theorem 2.6) that it is given by an analogue of the hook-length formula

(for the degree of a character). In Section 3, we then use this to prove, when  $n < \ell^2$ , an  $\ell$ -analogue of the McKay conjecture in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  (Theorem 3.4).

## 2 Hook-length formula

**2.1  $\ell$ -blocks of the symmetric group.** Take two integers  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ , and consider the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $n$  letters. The conjugacy classes and irreducible complex characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  are parametrized by the set  $\{\lambda \vdash n\}$  of partitions of  $n$ . We write  $\text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n) = \{\chi_\lambda, \lambda \vdash n\}$ . An element of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is said to be  $\ell$ -regular if none of its cycles has length divisible by  $\ell$ . We let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of  $\ell$ -regular elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . The  $\mathcal{C}$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  are called  $\ell$ -blocks, and they satisfy the following:

**Theorem 2.1** (Generalized Nakayama conjecture [6, Theorem 5.13]). *Two characters  $\chi_\lambda, \chi_\mu \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  belong to the same  $\ell$ -block if and only if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  have the same  $\ell$ -core.*

The proof of this goes as follows. If  $\langle \chi_\lambda, \chi_\mu \rangle \neq 0$ , then an induction argument using the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule shows that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  must have the same  $\ell$ -core. In particular, the partitions labeling the characters in an  $\ell$ -block all have the same  $\ell$ -weight, and we can talk about the  $\ell$ -weight of an  $\ell$ -block.

Conversely, let  $B$  be the set of irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  labeled by those partitions of  $n$  which have a given  $\ell$ -core,  $\gamma$  say, and  $\ell$ -weight  $w$ . It is a well-known combinatorial fact (cf. for example [5, Theorem 2.7.30]) that the characters in  $B$  are parametrized by the  $\ell$ -quotients, which can be regarded as the set of  $\ell$ -tuples of partitions of  $w$ . For  $\chi_\lambda \in B$ , the quotient  $\beta_\lambda$  is a sequence  $(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\ell)})$  such that, for each  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ,  $\lambda^{(i)}$  is a partition of some  $k_i$ ,  $0 \leq k_i \leq w$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i = w$  (the quotient  $\beta_\lambda$  ‘stores’ the information about how to remove  $w$   $\ell$ -hooks from  $\lambda$  to get  $\gamma$ ). We write  $\beta_\lambda \Vdash w$ . To prove that  $B$  is an  $\ell$ -block of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , Külshammer, Olsson and Robinson use a generalized perfect isometry between  $B$  and the wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  (where  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  denotes a cyclic group of order  $\ell$ ).

The conjugacy classes of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  are parametrized by the  $\ell$ -tuples of partitions of  $w$  as follows (cf. [5, Theorem 4.2.8]). Write  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell = \{g_1, \dots, g_\ell\}$  for the cyclic group of order  $\ell$ . The elements of the wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  are of the form  $(h; \sigma) = (h_1, \dots, h_w; \sigma)$ , with  $h_1, \dots, h_w \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_w$ . For any such element, and for any  $k$ -cycle  $\kappa = (j, j\kappa, \dots, j\kappa^{k-1})$  in  $\sigma$ , we define the *cycle product* of  $(h; \sigma)$  and  $\kappa$  by

$$g((h; \sigma), \kappa) = h_j h_{j\kappa^{-1}} h_{j\kappa^{-2}} \dots h_{j\kappa^{-(k-1)}} \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell.$$

If  $\sigma$  has cycle structure  $\pi$  say, then we form  $\ell$  partitions  $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_\ell)$  from  $\pi$  as follows: any cycle  $\kappa$  in  $\pi$  gives a cycle of the same length in  $\pi_i$  if  $g((h; \sigma), \kappa) = g_i$ . The resulting  $\ell$ -tuple of partitions of  $w$  describes the *cycle structure* of  $(h; \sigma)$ , and two elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  are conjugate if and only if they have the same cycle structure. An element of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  is said to be *regular* if it has no cycle product equal to 1.

The irreducible characters of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  are also canonically parametrized by the  $\ell$ -tuples of partitions of  $w$  in the following way. Write  $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ , and

take  $\beta_\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\ell)}) \Vdash w$ , with  $\lambda^{(i)} \vdash k_i$  as above ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ). The irreducible character  $\alpha_1^{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_\ell^{k_\ell}$  of the *base group*  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^w$  can be extended in a natural way to its inertia subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_{k_1}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_{k_\ell})$ , giving the irreducible character  $\prod_{i=1}^\ell \widehat{\alpha_i^{k_i}}$ . The tensor product  $\prod_{i=1}^\ell \widehat{\alpha_i^{k_i}} \otimes \chi_{\lambda^{(i)}}$  is an irreducible character of

$$(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_{k_1}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_{k_\ell})$$

which extends  $\prod_{i=1}^\ell \widehat{\alpha_i^{k_i}}$ , and it remains irreducible when induced to  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$ . We denote by  $\chi_{\beta_\lambda}$  this induced character. Furthermore, any irreducible character of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  can be obtained in this way.

In [6], the authors show that the map  $\chi_\lambda \mapsto \chi_{\beta_\lambda}$  is a generalized perfect isometry between  $B$  and  $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w)$ , with respect to  $\ell$ -regular elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and regular elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$ .

On the other hand, they show that, writing ‘reg’ for the set of regular elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$ , we have, for all  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w)$ ,

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni \frac{\ell^w w! \langle \chi, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w} \rangle_{\text{reg}}}{\chi(1)} \equiv (-1)^w \pmod{\ell}, \quad (1)$$

where  $1_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w}$  is the trivial character of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$ . In particular,  $\langle \chi, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w} \rangle_{\text{reg}} \neq 0$ . Using the generalized perfect isometry that we described above, we see that there exists a character  $\chi_\lambda \in B$  such that, for all  $\chi_\mu \in B$ , we have  $\langle \chi_\lambda, \chi_\mu \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of  $\ell$ -regular elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . In particular, all characters in  $B$  belong to the same  $\ell$ -block of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , which ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**2.2  $\ell$ -defect of characters.** Using the ingredients in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can now compute explicitly the  $\ell$ -defects of the irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  (that is, their  $\mathcal{C}$ -defect, where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of  $\ell$ -regular elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ).

As we remarked earlier, if  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  of  $\ell$ -weight  $w$ , then, because of the generalized perfect isometry we described above, the  $\ell$ -defect  $d_\ell(\chi_\lambda)$  of  $\chi_\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  is the same as the reg-defect  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi_{\beta_\lambda})$  of  $\chi_{\beta_\lambda} \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w)$ , where  $\beta_\lambda$  is the  $\ell$ -quotient of  $\lambda$ . It is in fact these reg-defects that we will compute.

First note that, if  $w = 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is its own  $\ell$ -core, so that  $\chi_\lambda$  is alone in its  $\ell$ -block, and  $d_\ell(\chi_\lambda) = 1$ . We therefore now fix  $w \geq 1$ .

We write  $\pi$  the set of primes dividing  $\ell$ . Every positive integer  $m$  can be factorized uniquely as  $m = m_\pi m_{\pi'}$ , where every prime factor of  $m_\pi$  belongs to  $\pi$  and no prime factor of  $m_{\pi'}$  is contained in  $\pi$ . We call  $m_\pi$  the  $\pi$ -part of  $m$ .

Using results of Donkin (cf. [2]) and equality (1), Külshammer, Olsson and Robinson proved the following:

**Theorem 2.2** ([6, Theorem 6.2]). *The reg-defect of the trivial character of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  is  $\ell^w w!_{\pi}$ .*

In particular, since  $1_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w}$  has maximal reg-defect, we see that, for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w)$ ,  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$  is a  $\pi$ -number.

We can now compute the reg-defect of any irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$ . It turns out that it is sufficient to know the reg-contribution of  $\chi$  with the trivial character, and this is given by (1). We have the following:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Take any integers  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $w \geq 1$ . Then*

$$d_{\text{reg}}(\chi) = \frac{\ell^w (w!)_\pi}{\chi(1)_\pi}$$

for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w)$ . Recall that, by (1),

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni \frac{\ell^w w!}{\chi(1)} \langle \chi, 1 \rangle_{\text{reg}} \equiv (-1)^w \pmod{\ell}.$$

Now  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$  is a  $\pi$ -number, so that  $\langle \chi, 1 \rangle_{\text{reg}}$  is a rational whose (reduced) denominator is a  $\pi$ -number. This implies that

$$\frac{\ell^w (w!)_\pi}{\chi(1)_\pi} \langle \chi, 1 \rangle_{\text{reg}} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Furthermore, from (1), we also deduce that, for each  $p \in \pi$ ,

$$\frac{\ell^w w!}{\chi(1)} \langle \chi, 1 \rangle_{\text{reg}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus, for any  $p \in \pi$ ,

$$\frac{\ell^w (w!)_\pi}{\chi(1)_\pi} \langle \chi, 1 \rangle_{\text{reg}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence  $\ell^w (w!)_\pi / \chi(1)_\pi$  is the smallest positive integer  $d$  such that  $d \langle \chi, 1 \rangle_{\text{reg}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that  $\ell^w (w!)_\pi / \chi(1)_\pi$  divides  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$  (indeed, by definition,  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi) \langle \chi, 1 \rangle_{\text{reg}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$  is a  $\pi$ -number).

Now, conversely, if  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w)$ , then  $\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\text{reg}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , so (since  $\chi(1)$  divides  $|\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w| = \ell^w w!$ ) we also have

$$\frac{\ell^w w!}{\chi(1)} \langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\text{reg}} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

However,

$$\frac{\ell^w w!}{\chi(1)} \langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\text{reg}} = \frac{\ell^w w!}{\ell^w w!} \sum_{g \in \text{reg}/\sim} \frac{K_g \chi(g)}{\chi(1)} \psi(g^{-1})$$

(where the sum is taken over representatives for the regular classes, and, for  $g$  such a representative,  $K_g$  is the size of the conjugacy class of  $g$ ). Moreover, for each  $g$  in the sum,  $K_g \chi(g)/\chi(1)$  and  $\psi(g^{-1})$  are both algebraic integers. Hence  $(\ell^w w!/\chi(1))\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\text{reg}}$  is also an algebraic integer, and thus an integer. Hence

$$\frac{\ell^w w!}{\chi(1)} \langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{\text{reg}} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w).$$

and this implies that  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$  divides  $\ell^w w!/\chi(1)$ , and,  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$  being a  $\pi$ -number,  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$  divides  $\ell^w (w!)_\pi/\chi(1)_\pi$ . Hence we finally get  $d_{\text{reg}}(\chi) = \ell^w (w!)_\pi/\chi(1)_\pi$ .  $\square$

We want to express the  $\ell$ -defect of a character in terms of hook lengths. For any  $\lambda \vdash n$ , we write  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$  for the set of hooks in  $\lambda$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_\ell(\lambda)$  for the set of hooks in  $\lambda$  whose length is divisible by  $\ell$ . Similarly, if  $\beta_\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\ell)}) \Vdash w$ , we define a *hook* in  $\beta_\lambda$  to be a hook in any of the  $\lambda^{(i)}$ 's, and write  $\mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)$  for the set of hooks in  $\beta_\lambda$ . Finally, for any hook  $h$  (in a partition or a tuple of partitions), we write  $|h|$  for the length of  $h$ .

We will use the following classical results about hooks (cf. for example [5, §2.3, §2.7]).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $n \geq \ell \geq 2$  be any two integers, and let  $\lambda$  be any partition of  $n$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) (Hook-length formula, [5, Theorem 2.3.21]) *We have*

$$\frac{|\mathfrak{S}_n|}{\chi_\lambda(1)} = \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda)} |h|.$$

(ii) ([5, 2.7.40]) *If  $\lambda$  has  $\ell$ -weight  $w$ , then  $|\mathcal{H}_\ell(\lambda)| = w$ .*

(iii) ([5, Lemma 2.7.13 and Theorem 2.7.16]) *If  $\beta_\lambda$  is the  $\ell$ -quotient of  $\lambda$ , then  $\{|h|, h \in \mathcal{H}_\ell(\lambda)\} = \{\ell|h'|, h' \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)\}$ .*

We can now establish the following:

**Proposition 2.5.** *If  $n \geq \ell \geq 2$  are integers,  $\pi$  is the set of primes dividing  $\ell$ , and  $\lambda \vdash n$  has  $\ell$ -weight  $w \neq 0$  and  $\ell$ -quotient  $\beta_\lambda$ , then*

$$\frac{\ell^w (w!)_\pi}{\chi_{\beta_\lambda}(1)_\pi} = \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}_\ell(\lambda)} |h|_\pi.$$

*Proof.* Write  $\beta_\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\ell)})$ , where  $\lambda^{(i)} \vdash k_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . First note that, by construction of  $\chi_{\beta_\lambda}$ , and since the irreducible characters of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  all have degree 1, we have

$$\chi_{\beta_\lambda}(1) = \frac{\ell^w w!}{\prod_{i=1}^\ell \ell^{k_i} k_i!} \chi_{\lambda^{(1)}}(1) \cdots \chi_{\lambda^{(\ell)}}(1).$$

Thus, by the hook-length formula (Theorem 2.4 (i)),

$$\chi_{\beta_\lambda}(1) = \frac{w!}{\prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)} |h|} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w|}{\chi_{\beta_\lambda}(1)} = \ell^w \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)} |h|.$$

We therefore get

$$\frac{\ell^w(w!)_\pi}{\chi_{\beta_\lambda}(1)_\pi} = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_\ell \wr \mathfrak{S}_w|_\pi}{\chi_{\beta_\lambda}(1)_\pi} = \ell^w \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)} |h|_\pi.$$

Now, by Theorem 2.4 (ii) and (iii), we have  $|\mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)| = w$ , so that

$$\ell^w \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)} |h| = \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)} \ell |h|,$$

and, by Theorem 2.4 (iii),  $\prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}(\beta_\lambda)} \ell |h| = \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}_\ell(\lambda)} |h|$ . Taking  $\pi$ -parts, we obtain  $\ell^w(w!)_\pi / \chi_{\beta_\lambda}(1)_\pi = \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}_\ell(\lambda)} |h|_\pi$ , as announced.  $\square$

Combining Propositions 2.3 and 2.5, we finally get

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $n \geq \ell \geq 2$  be integers, and let  $B$  be an  $\ell$ -block of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of weight  $w$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (i) *If  $w = 0$ , then  $B = \{\chi_\lambda\}$  for some partition  $\lambda$  of  $n$ , and  $d_\ell(\chi_\lambda) = 1$ .*
- (ii) *If  $w > 0$ , and if  $\chi_\lambda \in B$ , then  $d_\ell(\chi_\lambda) = \prod_{h \in \mathcal{H}_\ell(\lambda)} |h|_\pi$ , where  $\pi$  is the set of primes dividing  $\ell$  (that is,  $d_\ell(\chi_\lambda)$  is the  $\pi$ -part of the product of the hook lengths divisible by  $\ell$  in  $\lambda$ ).*

### 3 McKay conjecture

**3.1 McKay conjecture, generalization.** In this section, we want to study an  $\ell$ -analogue of the following:

**Conjecture 3.1** (McKay). Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $p$  be a prime, and  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then the numbers of irreducible complex characters whose degree is not divisible by  $p$  are the same for  $G$  and  $N_G(P)$ .

The McKay conjecture was proved by Olsson [9] for the symmetric group. In order to generalize this to an arbitrary integer  $\ell$ , we will use the results of [4], which we summarize here. Let  $n \geq \ell \geq 2$  be integers. Suppose furthermore that  $n < \ell^2$ , and write  $n = \ell w + r$ , with  $0 \leq w, r < \ell$ . We define a *Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroup* of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  to be any subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  generated by  $w$  disjoint  $\ell$ -cycles. In particular, if  $\ell$  is a prime  $p$ , then the Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  are just its Sylow  $p$ -subgroups. Then any two Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  are conjugate, and they are Abelian. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . In

[4], the notion of an  $\ell$ -regular element is given, which coincides with the notion of a  $p$ -regular element if  $\ell$  is a prime  $p$ . Using this, one can construct the  $\ell$ -blocks of  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{L})$ , and show that they satisfy an analogue of Broué's Abelian defect conjecture (cf. [4, Theorem 4.1]). We will show that, still in the case where  $n < \ell^2$ , an analogue of the McKay conjecture also holds. However, if we just replace  $p$  by an arbitrary integer  $\ell$ , and consider irreducible characters of degree not divisible by  $\ell$ , or even coprime to  $\ell$ , then the numbers differ in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{L})$ . Instead, we will use the notion of  $\ell$ -defect, and prove that the numbers of irreducible characters of maximal  $\ell$ -defect are the same in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{L})$  (note that, if  $\ell$  is a prime, then both statements coincide).

**3.2 Defect and weight.** In order to study characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of maximal  $\ell$ -defect, we need the following result, which tells us where to look for them:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq w, r < \ell$  be integers, and let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n = \ell w + r$ . If  $\chi_\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  has maximal  $\ell$ -defect, then  $\lambda$  has (maximal)  $\ell$ -weight  $w$ .*

*Proof.* First note that, if  $\ell$  is a prime, then this can be proved in a purely arithmetic way (cf. [7]). This does not seem to be the case when  $\ell$  is no longer a prime, and we will use the abacus instead. For a complete description of the abacus, we refer to [5, §2.7] (note however that the abacus we use here is the horizontal mirror image of that described by James and Kerber).

Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $\lambda$  has  $\ell$ -weight  $v < w$ . By the previous section, the  $\ell$ -defect of  $\chi_\lambda$  is the  $\pi$ -part of the product of the hook lengths divisible by  $\ell$  in  $\lambda$ . Now these are visible on the  $\ell$ -abacus of  $\lambda$ . This has  $\ell$  runners, and a hook of length  $k\ell$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) corresponds to a bead situated on a runner,  $k$  places above an empty spot. In particular, the  $(\ell)$ -hooks (i.e. those whose length is divisible by  $\ell$ ) in  $\lambda$  are stored on at most  $v$  runners. To establish the result, we will construct a partition  $\mu$  of  $n$  of weight  $w$ , and such that  $d_\ell(\chi_\mu) > d_\ell(\chi_\lambda)$ .

Start with the  $\ell$ -abacus of any partition  $\nu$  of  $r$ . On the (at most)  $v$  runners used by  $\lambda$ , take some beads up to encode the same  $(\ell)$ -hooks as for  $\lambda$ . Then, on  $w - v$  of the (at least)  $\ell - v > w - v$  remaining runners, take the highest bead one place up. The resulting abacus then corresponds to a partition of  $n = r + \ell w = r + \ell v + \ell(w - v)$ , and we see that  $d_\ell(\chi_\mu) = \ell^{w-v} d_\ell(\chi_\lambda)$  (indeed, the  $(\ell)$ -hooks in  $\mu$  are precisely those in  $\lambda$ , together with  $w - v$  hooks of length  $\ell$ ). This proves the result.  $\square$

**3.3 Generalized perfect isometry.** We describe here the analogue of Broué's Abelian defect conjecture given in [4, Theorem 4.1]. We take any integers  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq w, r < \ell$ , and  $G = \mathfrak{S}_{\ell w + r}$ . We take an Abelian Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $G$ ; that is,  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^w$  is generated by  $w$  disjoint  $\ell$ -cycles. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a natural subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\ell w}$ , and we have  $N_G(\mathcal{L}) \cong N_{\mathfrak{S}_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L}) \times \mathfrak{S}_r$  and  $\text{Irr}(N_G(\mathcal{L})) = \text{Irr}(N_{\mathfrak{S}_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})) \otimes \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ . Now  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L}) \cong N \wr \mathfrak{S}_w = N_{\mathfrak{S}_\ell}(L) \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$ , where  $L = \langle \pi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is (a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ ) generated by a single  $\ell$ -cycle. As in the sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that the conjugacy classes and irreducible characters of  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$  are parametrized by the  $s$ -tuples of partitions of  $w$ , where  $s$  is the number of conjugacy classes of  $N$ .



Among these, there is a unique conjugacy class of  $\ell$ -cycles, for which we take representative  $\pi$ . We take representatives  $\{g_1 = \pi, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$  for the conjugacy classes of  $N$ . Considering as  $\ell$ -regular any element of  $N$  not conjugate to the  $\ell$ -cycle  $\pi$ , we can construct the  $\ell$ -blocks of  $N$ , and show that the principal  $\ell$ -block contains  $\ell$  characters, which we label  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_\ell$ , and that each of the remaining  $s - \ell$  characters, labeled  $\psi_{\ell+1}, \dots, \psi_s$ , is alone in its  $\ell$ -block (cf. [4, §2]). Using the construction presented after Theorem 2.1, we label the conjugacy classes and irreducible characters of  $N \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  by the  $s$ -tuples of partitions of  $w$ . An element of  $N \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  of cycle type  $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s) \Vdash w$  is called  $\ell$ -regular if  $\pi_1 = \emptyset$  (and  $\ell$ -singular otherwise). Then one shows that the  $\ell$ -blocks of  $N \wr \mathfrak{S}_w$  are the principal  $\ell$ -block,  $b_0 = \{\chi^\alpha, \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset) \Vdash w\}$ , and blocks of size 1,  $\{\chi^\alpha\}$ , whenever  $\alpha \Vdash w$  is such that  $\alpha_k \neq \emptyset$  for some  $\ell < k \leq s$  (see [4, Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.11]).

Finally, an element of  $N_G(\mathcal{L}) \cong N_{\mathfrak{S}_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L}) \times \mathfrak{S}_r$  is said to be  $\ell$ -regular if its  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_{\ell w}}(\mathcal{L})$ -part is  $\ell$ -regular in the above sense (so that, if  $\ell$  is a prime  $p$ , then the notions of  $\ell$ -regular and  $p$ -regular coincide). We can summarize the results of [4] as follows:

**Theorem 3.3** ([4, Theorem 4.1]). *Let the notation be as above. Then any  $\ell$ -block of  $N_G(\mathcal{L})$  has size 1 or belongs to  $\{b_0 \otimes \{\psi\}, \psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_r)\}$ . Furthermore, for any  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ , there is a generalized perfect isometry (with respect to  $\ell$ -regular elements) between  $b_0 \otimes \{\psi\}$  and  $B_\psi$ , where  $B_\psi$  is the  $\ell$ -block of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\ell w+r}$  consisting of the irreducible characters labeled by partitions with  $\ell$ -core  $\psi$ .*

Note that any partition of  $r$  does appear as  $\ell$ -core of a partition of  $\ell w + r$  (for example, if  $\gamma \vdash r$ , then  $\gamma$  is the  $\ell$ -core of  $(\gamma, 1^{\ell w}) \vdash \ell w + r$ ).

**3.4 Analogues of the McKay conjecture.** We can now give the analogue of the McKay conjecture that we announced. As before, let  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq w, r < \ell$  be integers,  $n = \ell w + r$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  be an Abelian Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . By Proposition 3.2, any irreducible character of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of maximal  $\ell$ -defect has (maximal)  $\ell$ -weight  $w$ , hence belongs to some block  $B_\psi$ , with  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ . Since any generalized perfect isometry preserves the defect, Theorem 3.3 provides a bijection between the sets of irreducible characters of maximal  $\ell$ -defect and  $\ell$ -weight  $w$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and of characters of maximal  $\ell$ -defect in  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{L})$ . We therefore obtain the following result:

**Theorem 3.4.** *With the above notation, the numbers of irreducible characters of maximal  $\ell$ -defect are the same in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{L})$ .*

**Remark.** Furthermore, we have an explicit bijection, essentially given by taking  $\ell$ -quotients of partitions.

In fact, Theorem 3.3 gives something a bit stronger, namely:

**Theorem 3.5.** *For any  $\ell$ -defect  $\delta \neq 1$ , there is a bijection between the set of irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of  $\ell$ -weight  $w$  and  $\ell$ -defect  $\delta$  and the set of irreducible characters of  $N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{L})$  of  $\ell$ -defect  $\delta$ .*

Now, McKay's conjecture is stated (and, in the case of symmetric groups, proved) without any hypothesis on the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups. One would therefore want to generalize the above results to the case where  $n \geq \ell^2$ . Examples seem to indicate that such analogues do indeed hold in this case, and that a bijection is given by taking, not only the  $\ell$ -quotient of a partition, but its  $\ell$ -tower (cf. [9]).

In order to prove these results, one would first need to generalize Proposition 3.2, showing that, for any  $n \geq \ell \geq 2$ , if  $\chi_\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  has maximal  $\ell$ -defect, then  $\lambda$  has maximal  $\ell$ -weight, but also maximal  $\ell^2$ -weight, maximal  $\ell^3$ -weight, and so on. If  $\ell$  is a prime, then this is known to be true (cf. [7]). However, it seems hard to prove in general, even when  $n = \ell^2$ . The particular case where  $\ell$  is square-free is much easier.

Also, one would need to generalize the results of [4], while making sure that, when  $\ell$  is a prime  $p$ , the notions of  $\ell$ -regular and  $p$ -regular elements still coincide.

## References

- [1] M. Broué. Isométries parfaites, types de blocs, catégories dérivées. *Astérisque* **181–182** (1990), 61–92.
- [2] S. Donkin. Representations of Hecke algebras and characters of symmetric groups. In *Studies in memory of Issai Schur*, Progress in Math. 210 (Birkhäuser, 2003), pp. 49–67.
- [3] J.-B. Gramain. Generalized perfect isometries in some groups of Lie rank one. *J. Algebra* **299** (2006), 820–840.
- [4] J.-B. Gramain. On defect groups for generalized blocks of the symmetric group. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **78** (2008), 155–171.
- [5] G. James and A. Kerber. *The representation theory of the symmetric groups*. Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 16 (Addison-Wesley, 1981).
- [6] B. Külshammer, J. B. Olsson and G. R. Robinson. Generalized blocks for symmetric groups. *Invent. Math.* **151** (2003), 513–552.
- [7] I. G. MacDonald. On the degrees of the irreducible representations of symmetric groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 189–192.
- [8] G. Navarro. *Characters and blocks of finite groups*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 250 (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [9] J. B. Olsson. McKay numbers and heights of characters. *Math. Scand.* **38** (1976), 25–42.

Received 23 September, 2008; revised 9 January, 2009

Jean-Baptiste Gramain, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL-IGAT, Bâtiment de Chimie (BCH), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland  
E-mail: jean-baptiste.gramain@epfl.ch